E D	NORTH HIGH 512 Cromer Avenue North, S. C. 29112			
超回	GRADES	6-12 High School	ol	
	ENROLLMENT	371 Students		
≥ MNSS	PRINCIPAL	Sterling B. Harri	S	803-247-2541
200	SUPERINTENDENT	Mr. Melvin Smo	ak	803-534-5454
3.06	BOARD CHAIR	Mr. Melvin Crum	1	803-534-5454
	THE STATE	or Sol	JTH CAR	ROLINA
MA.	ANNUAL SCHI REPORT CA		2003	3
M_{ℓ}		ı 		
187	ABSOLUTE RATING		s with Students like	GOOD
N	Excellent Goo	d Average	Below Average 6	
1	IMPROVEMENT RA	TING:		GOOD
13	ADEQUATE YEARL	Y PROGRESS:		N/A
N				
E. 100	SOUTH CAROLINA			
rage.	By 2010, South Carolina's the states nationally. To a improving systems in the	achieve this goal, w		
	For More In			ITES AT:
100	ww	W.MYSCSCHO WWW.SCEOO		

North High 3805042

PERFORMANCE T		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Good	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our Schoo	1	Hig Stud	h Schools w dents Like O	ith urs	
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	68.4	60.5	56.6	54.2	51.6	48.0	
Passed 2 subtests	18.4	27.9	30.2	20.9	22.7	22.4	
Passed 1 subtest	10.5	9.3	7.5	14.6	14.0	16.3	
Passed no subtests	2.6	2.3	5.7	10.3	11.7	12.9	

PERFORMANCE BY 5	TUDENT	GROUPS					
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
All Of a lands	n	% 97.2	n 39	% 10.3	n 44	% 70.5	
All Students Gender	36	97.2	39	10.3	44	79.5	
	47	04.4	00	F 0	0.4	70.0	
Male	17	94.1	20	5.0	24	70.8	
Female	19	100.0	19	15.8	20	90.0	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	14	92.9	18	0.0	25	64.0	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
White	22	100.0	21	19.0	19	100.0	
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	2	I/S	2	I/S	8	0.0	
Students without disabilities	34	100.0	37	10.8	36	97.2	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	39	10.3	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	36	97.2	39	10.3	44	79.5	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	27	96.3	24	0.0	31	0.0	
Full-pay meals	9	100.0	15	26.7	13	99.0	
n = number of students on which per	centage is cald	culated					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	10.3	3.4
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	10.3	4.2
Seniors who met the grade point average	43.6	34.9

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

North High 3805042

SCHOOL PROFILE				Median
1	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	High School
Students (n= 371)				
Retention rate	0.5%	Down from 12.7%	7.9%	7.3%
Attendance rate	94.4%	Down from 97.8%	95.6%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	1.0%	Up from 0.8%	3.2%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	15.9%	Up from 15.5%	14.9%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	10.2%	Down from 12.1%	15.0%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	3.2%	Down from 10.4%	2.0%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.4%	Down from 4.7%	2.6%	2.7%
	0.0%	No change	3.2%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	62	Up from 10	294	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	20.2%	Down from 37.1%	20.5%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	77.4%	Down from 77.8%	72.1%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.1%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 28)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	42.9%	Down from 46.4%	41.0%	51.7%
	85.7%	No change	78.4%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	87.8%	Up from 86.5%	82.1%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.8%	Up from 96.0%	95.2%	95.8%
	\$41,155	Up 1.4%	\$38,615	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	10.7 days	Up from 7.4 days	11.8 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.1 to 1	Down from 27.1 to 1	22.2 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.0%	Down from 92.3%	88.5%	90.1%
	\$7,852	Up 14.6%	\$7,378	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	54.4%	Down from 57.0%	56.1%	57.8%
	Good	Down from Excellent	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	96.8%	Up from 94.0%	85.3%	87.8%
	no	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.		- 4	,55	,00

* Prior year	audited	financial	data	are	reported

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

North High 3805042

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

North High School serves the North area of Orangeburg County as a 6 - 12 middle/high school. The school is comprised of approximately 370 students. We have a student body that is very diverse in culture with 65 percent of the student body being African-American and 33 percent white and 2 percent of Hispanic heritage. The faculty is made up of dedicated educators who strive to provide all students with the opportunity to learn each day without regard to prior academic achievement. We are committed to the belief that every child can learn.

Proper preparation for higher education and careers is a goal of the school. The school encourages all students to prepare for post secondary education. We also help prepare students for vocational careers by providing instruction by partnership with the Orangeburg Consolidated School District Five's Technology Center. We have experienced a significant increase in the Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test (PACT) scores in both English/language arts and mathematics. In addition, we were recognized for a gain of over 110 points on our SAT average resulting in a \$10,000 award from the State Department. We also were a recipient of the Palmetto Gold Award for improvements in our test scores.

North High School is a Learning Focused Project School whereby instruction each day incorporates "best practices" research. All students participate in the Accelerated and Reading Renaissance Program each day. Our students also use the Accelerated Math Program extensively at our school. We plan to use additional funds in the upcoming years to make our school completely standards-based through extensive faculty staff development and training in content areas as well as teaching strategies.

In addition to academic performance, students at North High School excelled in extracurricular areas as well. Our varsity softball, girl's basketball, and volleyball teams competed in the state playoffs. Our award winning Royal Cadet Band was one of twelve bands selected as a finalist in the state championship marching contest for the third year in a row. Our baseball team won the lower state championship this year. Our mission is to provide excellent educational opportunities to our community.

Sterling Harris, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
Teachers Students Parents								
Number of surveys returned	26	23	40					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	52.0%	65.2%	51.3%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	69.2%	65.2%	41.0%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	36.0%	72.7%	62.5%					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.